

Social Preference Function input: $(\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_n)$
output: \tilde{z} society's ranking

Arrow's axioms

- **Axiom 1: Unrestricted Domain or Freedom of Expression**

At the individual level, any complete and transitive ranking should be allowed.

- **Axiom 2: Rationality**

Also the social ranking should be complete and transitive

• Axiom 3: Unanimity or Pareto Principle

If, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $x \succ_i y$ then $x \succ y$

Example 1

	1's ranking	2's ranking	3's ranking
best	A	C	B
	B	A	C
worst	C	B	A

A versus B no unanimous ranking

A versus C " "

B versus C " "

Unanimity principle imposes no restrictions

Example 2

	1's ranking	2's ranking	3's ranking
best	A	C	A, B
	B	A	
worst	C	B	C

A v. B: no unanimous ranking

A v. C: "

B v. C: "

Unanimity principle imposes no restrictions

Example 3

	1's ranking	2's ranking	3's ranking
best	A	C	A
	B	A	C
worst	C	B	B

$A \succ_1 B$, $A \succ_2 B$, $A \succ_3 B$

unanimous then

unanimity requires $A \succ B$

for A and C and for

B and C unanimity

imposes no restriction,

- **Axiom 4: Non-dictatorship**

There is ^{no dictator, that is, there is} no individual i such that, for every two alternatives x and y , if $x \succ_i y$ then $x \succ y$.

Equivalently: for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there exists at least one pair (x, y) such that

$$x \succ_i y \text{ but } y \succeq x$$

• **Axiom 5: Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives**

The social ranking of x and y should depend only on how the individuals rank x and y

(1)

		individual 1	individual 2
best		A B	A, B
worst		C	C

We are trying to rank A and B for society

	1	2
best	A B	A, B, C
worst	C	

	1	2
best	A B	C
worst	C	A, B

In all these rankings society's

ranking of A and B must be the same

	1	2
best	C A	A, B
worst	B	C

	1	2
best	A, C	A, B
worst	B	C

	1	2
best	A C B	A, B
worst		C

	1	2
best	A	A, B
worst	B, C	C

	1	2
best	C A	A, B, C
worst	B	

	1	2
best	A, C	A, B, C
worst	B	

	1	2
best	A C B	A, B, C
worst		

	1	2
best	A	A, B, C
worst	B, C	

	1	2
best	C A	C
worst	B	A, B

	1	2
best	A, C	C
worst	B	A, B

	1	2
best	A C B	C
worst		A, B

	1	2
best	A	C
worst	B, C	A, B

If there are only two alternatives the Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives axiom is trivially satisfied.

Remark 1. If there are only two alternatives (and any number of individuals) then the method of majority voting satisfies all of Arrow's axioms.

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem

If the number of alternatives is at least three,
there is no social preference function that satisfies the five axioms.

*Equivalently: if you find a SPF that
satisfies four of the axioms, then
it must violate the fifth axiom.*

Arrow's axioms

**Unrestricted Domain
or Freedom of Expression**

FE

Rationality

R < *Completeness
transitivity*

Unanimity or Pareto

U

Non-Dictatorship

ND

Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives

IIA

Majority Rule with 2 alternatives

Plurality Rule with 2 alternatives


} *These two satisfy all
of Arrow's axioms*

Majority Rule with more than 2 alternatives

Plurality Rule with more than 2 alternatives

Completeness yes Transitivity fails
U yes
ND yes
IIA yes
FE yes

n voters

majority =  **if n is even:** number of individuals $\geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$
if n is odd: number of individuals $\geq \frac{n+1}{2}$

Majority rule: if a majority prefers x to y then society prefers x to y
if a majority prefers y to x then society prefers y to x
otherwise society is indifferent between x and y

Plurality rule: if the number of individuals who prefer x to y is
grater than the number of individuals who prefer
y to x then society prefers x to y

if the number of individuals who prefer y to x is
grater than the number of individuals who prefer
x to y then society prefers y to x

otherwise society is indifferent between x and y