### Machine Learning: A Very Brief Overview

A. Colin Cameron U.C.-Davis

September 2023

A. Colin Cameron U.C.-Davis ()

Machine Learning

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Introduction

- Machine learning is used to make predictions.
- The term **machine learning** is used because the machine learns from past data, rather than using models specified by knowledgeable experts.
- For example, initial efforts for computer-based language translation used rules of grammar. Now machine learning predictions are used instead.
- There are many different machine learning algorithms.
- In many settings they perform much better than previous prediction methods.

#### Regression

- Suppose we want to predict y based on potential variables x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>p</sub>.
- Traditional economics methods would use some combination of
  - specify a model such as a linear regression model and include only those variables that previous studies suggest are relevant
  - possibly then drop variables that are statistically insignificant.
- Machine learning methods instead
  - specify a vary flexible nonlinear model
  - use methods that reduce the variability of the prediction by allowing some bias
  - use out-of-sample prediction to choose the best model and guard against in-sample overfitting.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Overview

- Terminology
- Ø Model selection especially cross-validation.
- Variance-bias trade-off and shrinkage (LASSO and Ridge)
- Output and the second secon
- Regression trees and random forests
- Other Methods
- Classification
- Onsupervised learning (cluster analysis)
- Prediction for economics
- Causal Inference
- References

### 1. Terminology

- The term **machine learning** is used because the machine (computer) figures out from data the model  $\hat{y} = \hat{f}(\mathbf{x})$ 
  - compared to a modeler who e.g. specifies **x** and  $y = \mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\beta} + u$ .
- The data may be big or small
  - typically  $dim(\mathbf{x})$  is large but *n* can be small or large.

# Terminology (continued)

#### • Supervised learning = Regression

- ▶ We have both outcome *y* and regressors (or **features**) **x**
- ▶ 1. **Regression**: *y* is continuous
- > 2. Classification: y is categorical.

#### Unsupervised learning

- We have no outcome y only several x
- 3. Cluster Analysis: e.g. determine five types of individuals given many psychometric measures.
- Focus on 1. as this is most used by economists.
- A lot of machine learning is actually used for 2.
  - license plate recognition, Google translate, ....

- 4 @ > 4 @ > 4 @ >

# Terminology (continued)

Consider two types of data sets

1. training data set (or estimation sample)

★ used to fit a model.

#### 2. test data set (or hold-out sample or validation set)

 $\star$  additional data used to determine how good is the model fit

★ a test observation  $(\mathbf{x}_0, y_0)$  is a previously unseen observation.

### 2. Model selection

- Machine learners choose x's by using predictive ability
  - often mean squared error  $MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \hat{y}_i)^2$ .
- Problem: models "overfit" within sample.
- Solution 1:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  use an in-estimation-sample prediction with penalty for overfitting

★ e.g.  $\bar{R}^2$ , AIC, BIC, Mallows Cp

- Solution 2:
  - use out-of-estimation sample prediction (cross-validation)
    - ★ new to econometrics
    - ★ can apply to other loss functions and not just MSE.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### K-fold cross-validation is standard method

- K-fold cross-validation (standard choices are K = 5 and K = 10)
  - ▶ split data into K mutually exclusive folds of roughly equal size
  - for j = 1, ..., K fit using all folds but fold j and predict on fold j
- The following shows case K = 5

	Fit on folds	Test on fold
j = 1	2,3,4,5	$1 \rightarrow MSE_{(1)}$
<i>j</i> = 2	1,3,4,5	$2 \rightarrow MSE_{(2)}$
<i>j</i> = 3	1,2,4,5	$3 \rightarrow MSE_{(3)}$
<i>j</i> = 4	1,2,3,5	$4 \rightarrow MSE_{(4)}$
<i>j</i> = 5	1,2,3,4	$5 \rightarrow MSE_{(5)}$

• The K-fold CV estimate is

$$CV_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}} \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{K}} MSE_{(j)}$$
, where  $MSE_{(j)}$  is the MSE for fold  $j$ .

• Choose the model with smallest  $CV_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

A B A A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

### 3. Bias-Variance Trade-off and Shrinkage Estimation

- The goal is minimize MSE = Variance + Bias-squared.
- More flexible models have
  - less bias (good) and more variance (bad).
  - this trade-off is fundamental to machine learning.
- Shrinkage reduces variance and may offset increased bias.

• e.g. 
$$\widehat{eta}=$$
 0 has reduced variance to zero.

### Shrinkage Methods: Ridge Regression

• Shrinkage estimators minimize RSS (residual sum of squares)

- but with a penalty for model size
- this shrinks parameter estimates towards zero.
- The ridge estimator  $\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_\lambda$  of  $oldsymbol{eta}$  minimizes

$$Q_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{y_i - (\beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi})\}^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2$$

- where  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a tuning parameter to be determined
- Equivalently minimize  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{y_i (\beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi})\}^2$ subject to  $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2 \leq s$ .
- Typically first standardize x's to have mean zero and variance 1.

### Shrinkage Methods: LASSO

- Instead of squared penalty use absolute penalty.
- The Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection (LASSO) estimator  $\widehat{m{eta}}_{\lambda}$  of  $m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{b}}}}}}}}}$

$$Q_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ y_i - (\beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi}) \}^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_j|$$

- where  $\lambda \ge 0$  is a tuning parameter to be determined.
- Equivalently minimize  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{y_i (\beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi})\}^2$ subject to  $\sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_j| \leq s$ .
- The acronym LASSO is because
  - it sets some  $\beta's$  to zero and shrinks others towards zero.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# LASSO versus Ridge (key figure from ISL)

- Two regressors: ellipses are the residual sum of squares for different values of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  and the green squares are constraints
- Estimate is ellipse that just satisfies the constraint
  - LASSO is likely to be at a corner where some coefficients are zero.



**FIGURE 6.7.** Contours of the error and constraint functions for the lasso (left) and ridge regression (right). The solid blue areas are the constraint regions,  $|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| \le s$  and  $\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 \le s$ , while the red ellipses are the contours of the RSS.

A. Colin Cameron U.C.-Davis ()

### 4. Neural Networks (deep learning)

- A neural network involves a series of nested regressions.
- A single hidden layer neural network explaining y by  $\mathbf{x}$  has
  - y depends on z's (a hidden layer)
  - z's depend on x's.
- A neural network with two hidden layers explaining y by x has
  - y depends on  $\mathbf{w}'s$  (a hidden layer)
  - $\mathbf{w}'s$  depend on  $\mathbf{z}'s$  (a hidden layer)
  - z's depend on x's.
- Neural nets are good for prediction
  - especially in speech recognition (Google Translate), image recognition,
  - but require much tuning and very difficult (impossible) to interpret
  - and basis for deep nets and deep learning.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Neural Network Example



A. Colin Cameron U.C.-Davis ()

→ < ∃ →</p>

-

< A<sup>™</sup> →

### 5. Regression Trees and Random Forests

- Regression trees sequentially split regressors **x** into regions that best predict *y*.
- Sequentially split x's into rectangular regions in way that reduces RSS
  - then  $\hat{y}_i$  is the average of y's in the region that  $\mathbf{x}_i$  falls in
  - with J blocks RSS=  $\sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{i \in R_j} (y_i \bar{y}_{R_j})^2$ .
- Simplest case is a single x
  - split at x<sup>\*</sup> that minimizes  $\sum_{i:x_i \leq x^*} (y_i \bar{y}_{R_1})^2 + \sum_{i:x_i > x^*} (y_i \bar{y}_{R_1})^2$ 
    - \* where  $\bar{y}_{R_1}$  is average of  $y_i$  for  $i: x_i \leq x^*$
    - \* and  $\bar{y}_{R_2}$  is average of  $y_i$  for  $i: x_i > x^*$ .
  - second split is then best split within  $R_1$  and  $R_2$
  - then predicted y's are a step function of x.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Tree example from ISL page 308

- (1) split X1 in two at t<sub>1</sub>;
  - (2) split the lowest X1 values into R1 and R2 on basis of X2  $\geq t_2$ ;
  - (3) split the highest X1 values at  $t_3$  into R3 and R4/R5;
  - (4) split the highest X1 values on basis of X2  $\geq t_4$  into R4 and R5.



### Tree example from ISL (continued)

- The left figure gives the tree.
- The right figure shows the predicted values of y.



#### Improvements to regression trees

- Regression trees are easy to understand if there are few regressors.
- But they do not predict as well as methods given so far
  - due to high variance (e.g. split data in two then can get quite different trees).
- Better methods are
  - bagging
    - \* bootstrap aggregating averages regression trees over many samples
  - random forests
    - ★ averages regression trees over many sub-samples
  - boosting
    - **\star** trees build on preceding trees (fit residuals not y).

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

### Random Forests

- If we bootstrap resample with replacement (bagging) the *B* estimates are correlated
  - e.g. if a regressor is important it will appear near the top of the tree in each bootstrap sample.
  - the trees look similar from one resample to the next.
- Random forests get bootstrap resamples (like bagging)
  - but within each bootstrap sample use only a random sample of m < p predictors in deciding each split.
  - usually  $m \simeq \sqrt{p}$
  - this reduces correlation across bootstrap resamples.
  - Susan Athey and coauthors are big on random forests.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### 6. Other Methods

- Principal components
  - - reduce from p regressors to M < p linear combinations of regressors
- Basis function models
  - scalar case:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 b_1(x_i) + \dots + \beta_K(x_i) + \varepsilon_i$

**\*** where  $b_1(\cdot), ..., b_K(\cdot)$  are basis functions that are fixed and known.

- global polynomial regression
- splines: step functions, regression splines, smoothing splines
- wavelets
- polynomial is global while the others break range of x into pieces.

### Nonparametric and Semiparametric regression

• Nonparametric regression is the most flexible approach

- for  $f(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathsf{E}[y|\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0]$  borrow from observations near to  $\mathbf{x}_0$
- k-nearest neighbors and kernel-weighted local regression
- not practical even for moderate  $p = dim(\mathbf{x})$
- due to the curse of dimensionality

 $\star$  e.g. if 10 bins in one dimension need 10<sup>2</sup> bins in two dimensions, .....

- Semiparametric models provide some structure to reduce the nonparametric component from many dimensions to fewer dimensions (often one).
  - partially linear models  $y = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + u = \mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\beta} + g(\mathbf{z}) + u$
  - single-index models  $y = g(\mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\beta})$ .
  - generalized additive models  $y = g_1(x_1) + g_2(x_2) + \cdots$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のの⊙

### 7. Classification

- y's are now categorical e.g. binary.
- Interest lies in predicting y using  $\hat{y}$  (classification)
  - whereas economist typically want  $\widehat{\Pr}[y = j | \mathbf{x}]$
  - use number misclassified as loss function (not MSE).
- Some methods choose category with highest  $\widehat{\Pr}[y=j|\mathbf{x}]$ 
  - logit, k-nearest neighbors, discriminant analysis
- Support vector machines skip  $\widehat{\Pr}[y = j | \mathbf{x}]$  and directly get  $\widehat{y}$ 
  - can do better.
- Many ML applications are to classification.

### 8. Unsupervised Learning: cluster analysis

- Challenging area: no y, only x.
- Example is determining several types of individual based on responses to many psychological questions.
- Principal components analysis
  - already presented earlier.
- Clustering Methods
  - k-means clustering.
  - hierarchical clustering.

### ISL Figure 10.5

• Data is  $(x_1.x_2)$  with K = 2, 3 and 4 clusters identified.



**FIGURE 10.5.** A simulated data set with 150 observations in two-dimensional space. Panels show the results of applying K-means clustering with different values of K, the number of clusters. The color of each observation indicates the cluster to which it was assigned using the K-means clustering algorithm. Note that

(日) (同) (日) (日)

### 9. ML for Prediction for Economics

- Microeconometrics focuses on estimation of  $\beta$  or of partial effects.
- But in some cases we are directly interested in predicting y
  - probability of one-year survival following hip transplant operation
    - $\star\,$  if low then do not have the operation.
  - probability of re-offending
    - ★ if low then grant parole to prisoner.
- Sendhil Mullainathan and J. Spiess: "Machine Learning: An Applied Econometric Approach", Journal of Economic Perspectives, Spring 2017, 87-106.
  - good article to read
  - consider prediction of housing prices
  - detail how to do this using machine learning methods
  - and then summarize many recent economics ML applications.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### 10. ML for causal effects in partial linear model

- Microeconometrics focuses on estimation of  $\beta$  or of partial effects.
- Consider partial linear model  $y = \beta x_1 + g(\mathbf{x}_2) + u$ .
  - a good choice of controls  $g(\mathbf{x}_2)$  makes the assumption that  $Cov(x_1, u) = 0$  more plausible
  - so can give  $\beta$  a causal interpretation.
- So use machine learner to come up with good  $g(\mathbf{x}_2)$ 
  - but use ML in a way that allows valid inference even though we are data mining.
- Belloni, Chernozhukov and Hansen (2014), "High-dimensional methods and inference on structural and treatment effects," *Journal* of Economic Perspectives, Spring, 29-50
  - provides three examples including an IV example.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のの⊙

#### ML for causal treatment effects

- Consider a binary treatment, so  $x_1 = d \in \{0, 1\}$
- The preceding partially linear model  $y = eta d + \mathbf{x}_2' \delta + u$ 
  - restricts the same response  $\beta$  for each individual
  - requires that  $E[u|d, \mathbf{x}_2] = 0$  for unconfoundedness.
- The heterogeneous effects approach is more flexible
  - different responses for different individuals
  - and unconfoundedness assumptions may be more reasonable.
- ML methods have been developed for estimation of the average treatment effect.

### 11. References

- My website has various detailed slides on machine learning
  - http://cameron.econ.ucdavis.edu/e240f/machinelearning.html
- Chapter 28 of A. Colin Cameron and Pravin K. Trivedi, *Microeconometrics using Stata: Volume 2*, Stata Press
  - covers machine learning methods for prediction and for causal inference
  - Stata provides a good introduction to machine learning
    - $\star$  though more advanced ML prediction methods use Python or R.
  - ▶ see https://cameron.econ.ucdavis.edu/mus2/ for book information.
- Standard texts available free at https://www.statlearning.com/ are
  - Gareth James, Daniela Witten, Trevor Hastie and Robert Tibshirani (2021), An Introduction to Statistical Learning: with Applications in R, 2nd edition, Springer.
  - Gareth James, Daniela Witten, Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani and Jonathan Taylor (2021), An Introduction to Statistical Learning: with Applications in R, 2nd edition, Springer.

A. Colin Cameron U.C.-Davis ()