

FINAL EXAM: **ANSWERS for VERSION 1**

1. (a)  $y = 12$  is worse than  $y = 6$  (same wage but higher cost) and, similarly,  $y = 21$  is worse than  $y = 18$ , so each person only needs to consider  $y \in \{6, 16, 18\}$ .

Decision problem for person of productivity 20,000

| y  | gross wage | cost  | net income |
|----|------------|-------|------------|
| 6  | 20,000     | 0     | 20,000     |
| 16 | 35,000     | 20000 | 15,000     |
| 18 | 37,700     | 24000 | 13,700     |

Hence the best choice is  $y = 6$ .

Decision problem for person of productivity 35,000

| y  | gross wage | cost  | net income |
|----|------------|-------|------------|
| 6  | 20,000     | 0     | 20,000     |
| 16 | 35,000     | 14000 | 21,000     |
| 18 | 37,700     | 16800 | 20,900     |

Hence the best choice is  $y = 16$ .

Decision problem for person of productivity 37,700

| y  | gross wage | cost  | net income |
|----|------------|-------|------------|
| 6  | 20,000     | 0     | 20,000     |
| 16 | 35,000     | 10000 | 25,000     |
| 18 | 37,700     | 12000 | 25,700     |

Hence the best choice is  $y = 18$ .

Thus there is a signaling equilibrium, which is as follows. All people with productivity 20,000 will choose  $y = 6$  and be paid \$20,000, which is their true productivity. All people with productivity 35,000 will choose  $y = 16$  and be paid \$35,000, which is their true productivity. Finally, all people with productivity 37,700 will choose  $y = 18$  and be paid \$37,700, which is their true productivity. The employers' beliefs that more education correlates with higher productivity are confirmed.

- (b) If schools beyond 6th grade were eliminated, the employers would no longer have education as a signal of productivity. Hiring an employee would then be the same as playing the lottery

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|ccc} \text{productivity} & 20,000 & 35,000 & 37,700 \\ \hline \text{probability} & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.1 \end{array} \right) \text{ which has an expected value of } 29,270. \text{ Thus}$$

employers (being risk-neutral) would pay everybody \$29,270. Every employee would be better off (while the employers would be as well off). Hence closing down schools beyond 6th grade would lead to a Pareto superior situation.

2. [Note: this is a small variation on Exercise 10.3 in the Textbook]

- (a) Bob's initial total wealth is  $980,000 + 300,000 = \$1,280,000$ . Thus

$$EU(x) = \left( 0.6 - \frac{x}{500,000} \right) \sqrt{1,280,000 - 700,000 - x} + \left( 0.4 + \frac{x}{500,000} \right) \sqrt{1,280,000 - x}$$

- (b)  $EU(0) = 909.4947$ ,  $EU(100,000) = 928.8949$  and  $EU(200,000) = 954.6727$ . Thus **Bob would choose  $x = 200,000$ .**

- (c) Since, for every  $x$ , a full-insurance contract at premium  $h$  guarantees a wealth of  $1,280,000 - h - x$ , **Bob would choose  $x = 0$ .**

- (d) In this case his expected utility, as a function of  $x$ , is

$$EU(x) = \left(0.6 - \frac{x}{500,000}\right) \sqrt{1,280,000 - 80,000 - 100,000 - x} + \left(0.4 + \frac{x}{500,000}\right) \sqrt{1,280,000 - 80,000 - x}$$

$EU(0) = 1,067.4633$ ,  $EU(100,000) = 1,029.2853$  and  $EU(200,000) = 989.7367$ . Thus **Bob would choose  $x = 0$** .

- (e) From the above calculations we get that under option (1) he would choose  $x = 200,000$  and his expected utility would be 954.6727, under option (2) he would choose  $x = 0$  and his utility would be  $\sqrt{1,280,000 - 150,000} = 1,063.0146$  and under option (3) he would choose  $x = 0$  and his expected utility would be 1,067.4634. Thus **Bob will choose the partial insurance contract and  $x = 0$** .

**3. (a.1)** First we need to figure out what level of effort the Agent will choose. Her expected utility is:

- with  $e = L$ :  $\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{20} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{29} + \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{34} - 2 = 2.8136$

- with  $e = H$ :  $\frac{1}{12}\sqrt{20} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{29} + \frac{5}{12}\sqrt{34} - 3 = 2.4948$

Thus the Agent will choose  $e = L$  and her expected utility will be 2.8136.

(a.2) Hence the Principal's expected utility is  $\frac{2}{3}\ln(80) + \frac{1}{4}\ln(116) + \frac{1}{12}\ln(136) = 4.5191$

(b.1) First we need to figure out what level of effort the Agent will choose. Her expected utility is:

- with  $e = L$ :  $\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{0} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{36.25} + \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{42.5} - 2 = 0.0485$

- with  $e = H$ :  $\frac{1}{12}\sqrt{0} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{36.25} + \frac{5}{12}\sqrt{42.5} - 3 = 2.7267$

Thus the Agent will choose  $e = H$  and her expected utility will be 2.7267.

(b.2) Hence the Principal's expected utility is  $\frac{1}{12}\ln(100) + \frac{1}{2}\ln(108.75) + \frac{5}{12}\ln(127.5) = 4.7483$

(c) No, because the Principal prefers  $B$  to  $A$  while the Agent prefers  $A$  to  $B$ .

**4.** The consumers with the thicker and steeper indifference curve choose contract  $B$  while the others choose  $A$ . Thus the expected profits are:

$$50 \left[ \underbrace{(800 - 760)}_{h_A} - \frac{1}{40} \left( 650 - \left( \underbrace{(760 - 200)}_{d_A} \right) \right) \right] + 50 \left[ \underbrace{(800 - 520)}_{h_B} - \frac{1}{25} \left( 650 - \left( \underbrace{(520 - 280)}_{d_B} \right) \right) \right]$$

$$= 1,887.5 + 13,180 = 15,067.5$$