Quality	A	В	С	D	Ε	F	
Number of cars	10	20	10	40	30	10	Total: 120
fraction	$p_A = \frac{10}{120} = \frac{1}{12}$	$p_B = \frac{2}{12}$	$p_C = \frac{1}{12}$	$p_D = \frac{4}{12}$	$p_E = \frac{3}{12}$	$p_F = \frac{1}{12}$	
Buyer's value	\$6,000	\$5,000	\$4,000	\$3,000	\$2,000	\$1,000	
Seller's value	\$5,400	\$4,500	\$3,600	\$2,700	\$1,800	\$900	

## Akerlof: market for second-hand cars

Quality	L	М	H
probability	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$
seller's value	900	1,200	1,400
buyer's value	1,020	1,320	1,500

For every price p determine if there is a second-hand market.

## MONOPOLY and BUNDLING

**A.** ONE TYPE of customer

All consumers are identical with the same inverse demand function P = P(Q). Monopolist's cost function: C = cQ with 0 < c < P(0).

Naïve theory:  $\pi(Q) = R(Q) - cQ = QP(Q) - cQ$ 

Bundling.

**B.** TWO TYPES of customers



Monopolist's cost function: C = cQ with  $0 < c < P_L(0)$ .

## BUNDLING continued

**OPTION 1.** Offer only one type of package (Q,V) which will be bought only by type H, because  $V > W_L(Q)$  but  $V \le W_H(Q)$ .

It can be seen as included in Option 3:

Sufficient condition for Option 3 (two contracts) to be better than Option 1

**OPTION 2:** Offer only one package (Q,V) which will be bought by both types, because  $V \le W_L(Q)$  (which implies that  $V \le W_H(Q)$  since  $W_L(Q) \le W_H(Q)$ ).

Option 2 is always inferior to Option 3: