

**Economics 102\_A: Analysis of Economic Data Cameron Winter 2019 U.C.-Davis  
Answers to Final Exam**

**Version A**

**1.(a)** 95% confidence interval directly from computer output is (1528, 1729).

**(b)**  $H_0 : \mu \geq 1700$  versus  $H_a : \mu < 1700$  where claim is the alternative hypothesis.

From output  $\bar{x} = 1629.2$  and  $se(\bar{x}) = 51.108$ .

So  $t = (1629.2 - 1700)/51.108 = 70.8/51.108 = -1.139$ .

Do not reject  $H_0$  that  $\mu \geq 1700$  at level .05 as  $t = -1.139 > -t_{.05;1949} = -1.646$ .

So do not support the claim that population mean outpatient spending is less than \$1,700.

**(c)** `coins0` and `coins50` as these are most highly correlated with `outspend`.

**(d)**  $b_1 = 1416.1$ . Reason:  $\hat{y} = b_1 + b_2d$  equals  $b_1$  if  $d = 0$  so  $b_1 = \bar{y}$  for those with  $d = 0$ .

$b_2 = 1883.5 - 1416.1 = 467.4$ . Reason:  $\hat{y} = b_1 + b_2d$  equals  $b_1 + b_2$  if  $d = 1$  so  $b_1 + b_2 = \bar{y}$  for those with  $d = 1$ .

**(e)** The graph is one that is roughly symmetric. The scale is from 0 to 10. About half the areas under the curve is left of 6.75 and about half is to the right of 6.75 (the median is 6.75).

**2.(a)** Outpatient spending by  $8.045 \times 10 = \$80.45$ .

**(b)** 99% conf. interval for  $\beta_2$  is  $b_2 \pm t_{.005;1950-2} \times s_{b_2} = 8.045 \pm 2.578 \times 4.52892 = 8.045 \pm 11.676 = (-3.63, 19.72)$ .

**(c)**  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 15$  against  $H_a : \beta_2 \neq 15$ .

$t = (b_2 - 15)/s_{b_2} = (8.045 - 15)/4.52892 = -1.536$ .

$|t| = 1.536 < t_{.05;1950-2} = 1.646$ .

Do not reject  $H_0$ . Conclude that at level 5% the coefficient does not differ from 15.

**(d)** Simplest is 0.0402 directly from correlation output.

[Alternatively  $s_{xy}^2 = R^2$  from regress  $y$  on  $x$  yields  $r_{oa}^2 = 0.0016$  and  $r_{oa} = \sqrt{0.0016} = 0.04$ .

Rule out  $-0.04$  because from the regression there is positive correlation between `outspend` & `age`].

**(e)** Most likely errors are heteroskedastic. One clue is that `lnout` is symmetric so `outspend` (before taking log) is right-skewed. And we expect more variability around the line for high values of `age` than around low values.

**(f)** `regress outspend age, vce(robust)`

**3.(a)** Prediction for `outspend` is  $\hat{y}^* = b_1 + b_2x^* = 1325.3 + 8.0453 \times 50 = 1727.6$ . Outpatient spending is \$1,728.

**(b)**  $E[y|x = 50] \in b_1 + b_2x \pm t_{.025;n-2} \times s_e \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(50-\bar{x})^2}{\sum_i(x_i-\bar{x})^2}}$

$\in 1727.6 \pm 1.961 \times 2255.6 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{1950} + \frac{(50-37.771)^2}{248054}}$

[No need for further calculation but get  $\in 1727.6 \pm 1.961 \times 75.342 \in 1727.6 \pm 147.7 \in (1579.9, 1875.3)$ .]

**(c)** Since  $s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i(x_i - \bar{x})^2$  then  $\sum_i(x_i - \bar{x})^2 = (n - 1) \times s_x^2 = 1949 \times 11.28151^2 = 248054$ .

**(d)** The 95% confidence interval is at least  $\hat{y}^* \pm 1.96 \times s_e = \hat{y}^* \pm 1.961 \times 2255.6 = \hat{y}^* \pm 4423$ . So the width of the confidence interval is at least 8,846, which is greater than 4,000.

**(e)** Four assumptions:

1.  $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2x_i + u_i$  where here  $y$  is Outspend and  $x$  is Age

2.  $u_i$  has mean 0 and is uncorrelated with  $x$

3.  $u_i$  has variance  $\sigma^2$  that does not vary with  $x$

4.  $u_i$  is uncorrelated with  $u_j$  (errors for different observations are uncorrelated)

**(f)** Yes. [A critical value from the  $T(1948)$  was used, and this requires the additional assumption of normally distributed errors (even though  $T(1948)$  is very close to  $N(0, 1)$ ].

**4.(a)** Several ways to answer. A one year increase in education is associated with a 41.9 increase in outpatient spending which is about 2.5% of mean outpatient spending of 1629, so fairly small. Or a one standard deviation increase is associated with a  $41.38 \times 3.066 = 126.9$  increase which is 7.7% of mean outpatient spending of 1629, so important. [This is a judgement call. In grading this what matters is the explanation].

**(b)** Yes, the five regressors are jointly significant at 5% since the  $F$ -statistic of overall fit equals 6.26 with  $p = 0.0000 < 0.05$ .

**(c)** Yes, the three insurance dummies (one needed to be dropped to avoid the dummy variable trap) are jointly statistically significant at 5% since `test coins25 coins50 coins95` gives  $F = 7.79$  with  $p = 0.0030 < 0.05$ .

**(d)** `total=1` for all observations. So the mean of `total` is 1 and the standard deviation is 0.

**(e)** Yes. The only change is the choice of which of the three mutually exclusive dummies is the omitted category. So the coefficients of the other regressors, including `age`, are unchanged.

**(f)** Use adjusted  $R^2$  as this penalizes larger models. Since  $0.0133 > 0.0011$  the larger model fits better.

(Alternatively favor model with lower  $s_e^2$ . Since  $2241.8 < 225.6$  the larger model fits better.)

**5.(a)** One more year of education is associated with a proportionate increase of 0.0375 or a 3.75% increase in outpatient spending.

**(b)** A 1% increase in age is associated with a 0.462% increase in outpatient spending.

**6.(a)**  $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 3 + 2i = (3 + 2 \times 1) + (3 + 2 \times 2) + (3 + 2 \times 3) + (3 + 2 \times 4) = 32$ .

**(b)**  $X_i \sim (500, 80^2)$  so  $\bar{Y} \sim (500, 80^2/n) \sim (500, 6400/400) = (500, 16)$ .

Expect mean 500, standard deviation  $\sqrt{16} = 4$ .

**(c)**  $E[X] = 0.4 \times 1 + 0.5 \times 2 + 0.1 \times 6 = 2.0$ .

$Var[X] = 0.4 \times (1 - 2)^2 + 0.5 \times (2 - 2)^2 + 0.1 \times (6 - 2)^2 = 0.4 + 0 + 1.6 = 2.0$ .

**(d)**  $\bar{x} = (5 + 10 + 30)/2 = 45/3 = 15$ .

$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 / (n - 1) = \{(-10)^2 + (-5)^2 + (15)^2\} / 2 = 350 / 2 = 175$ .

Standard deviation is  $\sqrt{175} = 13.23$ .

**7.(a)** Missing entry (A):  $rmse = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-k} ResSS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{18} \times 90} = \sqrt{5} = 2.236..$

**(b)** Missing entry (B): Use  $Res\ SS_r = TSS = 360$ . So  $F = \frac{(Res\ SS_r - Res\ SS_u) / (k - g)}{Res\ SS_u / (n - k)} = \frac{[360 - 90] / (3 - 1)}{90 / 18} = \frac{405}{15} = 27$ .

Or  $R^2 = 1 - \frac{90}{360} = 0.75$  so  $F = \frac{R^2 / (k - 1)}{(1 - R^2) / (n - k)} = \frac{0.75 / 2}{0.25 / 18} = 27$ .

**(c)** Missing entry (C):  $t = b / se$  so  $se = b / t = 6 / 1.5 = 4$ .

**(d)** The  $F$  statistic is the square of the  $t$  statistic.  $F = t^2 = (4/2)^2 = 4$ .

### Multiple Choice Version A:

**1. b    2. a    3. c    4. c    5. b    6. c    7. d    8. d    9. a    10. d**

(For **7.** a second answer given credit is **a.** because this is the answer if regress  $x$  on  $y$ ).

The average GPA for the curve for this exam is 2.68.

**Course grade is determined by curve based on combined course score.**

Scores out of	62	A	46 and above	C+	33 and above
75th percentile	46 (74%)	A-	43 and above	C	31 and above
Median	37 (60%)	B+	40 and above	C-	29 and above
25th percentile	32 (53%)	B	38 and above	D+	27 and above
		B-	36 and above	D	25 and above
				D-	23 and above